AI Investment ROI: Why 95% "Failure" Rate Says More About Our Measuring Tools Than the Technology itself

16 septembre 2025

The Real Story Behind the MIT Study

A recent MIT study claiming that 95% of AI projects fail to deliver ROI has been making headlines. But before we dismiss artificial intelligence as another overhyped bubble, we need to look closely at what this study actually measured—and the double standard hidden in its assumptions.

The MIT researchers defined *success* as projects showing measurable P&L impact within **six months of going live**. That's an extraordinarily narrow lens. By this definition, most traditional IT projects would also be classified as "failures."

Consider the reality of enterprise IT investments:

- **Business case expectations**: executives typically demand a 12-month ROI projection before greenlighting projects.
- **Actual performance**: break-even is more often reached after 24–48 months—if at all.
- **Industry acceptance**: such extended timelines should most of the time be considered normal and acceptable.

So why are AI projects suddenly expected to perform on a quarterly timescale? A six-month horizon is not only short for enterprise transformation, it's statistically unreliable for projecting any Net Present Value with confidence.

In fact, under such constraints, it's almost miraculous that **5% of AI projects did manage to achieve a six-month ROI**. That should raise eyebrows—not about AI's weakness, but about its potential to create value faster than other transformative technologies ever have.

This is like judging the success of the internet based on 1995 quarterly earnings, or dismissing cloud computing because companies didn't see cost savings in the first two quarters.

The study reveals less about AI's effectiveness than about our own **measurement bias**. We are applying criteria to AI that we would never apply to ERP rollouts, CRM deployments, or infrastructure upgrades—all of which often take years to show positive financial returns.

The real question isn't *why* AI "fails" at six months. It's *why* we ever expected it to succeed faster than every other transformative technology in business history.

Here's what this narrow definition automatically excludes:

- Skills and knowledge gained by teams
- Process improvements that compound over time
- Brand differentiation and competitive positioning
- Customer experience enhancements
- Innovation capabilities that enable future projects

The problem isn't that AI doesn't create value—it's that we're using the wrong measuring stick.

Why Traditional ROI Metrics Fall Short for AI Platforms

Traditional ROI frameworks were designed for **discrete, siloed projects**—a new factory line, a store opening, or a departmental IT deployment—where costs and benefits could be traced linearly to a single business unit.

But (**AI) platforms** break this model. They are **cross-BU by design**, financed centrally yet delivering value simultaneously to multiple domains: marketing, sales, operations, R&D, compliance. This makes both cost allocation and benefit attribution far more complex.

And this challenge is **not unique to AI**. Any corporate IT platform—ERP, CRM, cybersecurity, or cloud infrastructure—faces the same problem once its financing and usage span multiple BUs. The difference is that with AI, the **network effects** are even stronger: every new use case increases the value of the platform for all the others.

A second point often overlooked: **it is never "AI" in isolation. It is always "Data + AI."** Before an organization can truly embrace AI at scale, it must modernize its **data foundations**:

- break down silos and harmonize data models,
- improve governance and lineage tracking,
- expose services and APIs securely,
- ensure compliance with privacy and regulatory frameworks.

Only then can AI deliver compounding returns. Without this foundation, ROI calculations will systematically understate value, because they ignore the structural investment in data readiness that enables AI to succeed.

The Old Model: Siloed Project Thinking

Traditional ROI calculations were built for simpler times:

- One project = one department = one clear cost center
- Benefits show up quickly and stay in that same department
- Easy to trace every dollar spent to dollars earned

This worked fine for buying new machinery or opening a store location. But AI platforms work completely differently.

The New Reality: Cross-Department Value Creation

Modern AI initiatives—especially platforms that serve multiple business units—break all the old rules:

On the cost side, cost allocation complexity:

- Shared cloud infrastructure across departments
- Data science teams working on multiple projects
- Compliance and security costs spread across all use cases

On the benefit side, benefit allocation over-complexity:

- A customer scoring model helps marketing (better targeting), sales (lead prioritization), customer service (personalization), and risk management (fraud detection) all at once
- Each new use case makes the platform more valuable for everyone
- Data quality improvements benefit all current and future projects

The allocation nightmare: When an (AI) platform generates value across marketing, sales, and customer service simultaneously, which department gets credit? How do you split the costs? Traditional accounting literally can't handle this.

A Better Framework: Three-Level Evaluation

Instead of trying to force AI investments into old-school ROI boxes, we need a framework that matches how these technologies actually work.

Level 1: Individual Use Case Performance

These are your traditional metrics, but with realistic timeframes:

- Cost per prediction or automated transaction
- Time savings in hours per month (valued at loaded hourly rates)
- Error rate reductions
- Process cycle time improvements
- Customer satisfaction score increases

Key difference: Measure over 18-36 months, not 6 months to increase confidence in your NPV time-horizon.

Level 2: Portfolio and Platform Benefits

This is where AI's real value shows up:

Cost sharing benefits:

- Infrastructure costs split across multiple use cases
- Marginal cost to add new capabilities (often 70-80% lower than standalone projects)
- Shared expertise and reusable components

Synergy benefits:

- Data quality improvements that benefit all models
- Cross-pollination of insights between departments
- Network effects where each new use case makes existing ones more powerful

Portfolio ROI formula: Total benefits from all use cases ÷ Shared platform costs = Portfolio ROI

Level 3: Strategic Capabilities and Options

This captures the long-term competitive advantage:

- Speed to deploy new AI capabilities when opportunities arise
- Organizational learning and skill development
- Regulatory compliance infrastructure (increasingly critical)
- Competitive differentiation that's hard for rivals to replicate

Think of this as "AI readiness"—the ability to quickly capitalize on new opportunities because you already have the foundation in place.

Portfolio Management: The Missing Piece

From Project Budgets to Platform Investment

Smart companies are shifting their approach:

Old way:

- Each AI project fights for its own budget
- Success measured individually
- Redundant infrastructure and expertise across departments

New way:

- Fund AI capabilities at the platform level
- Measure success across the portfolio
- Share costs and amplify benefits

Financial operations (FinOps) for AI:

- Real-time cost monitoring with automatic optimization
- Usage-based internal billing
- Resource allocation that adapts to actual demand

This isn't just theory—companies making this shift are seeing dramatically different ROI outcomes.

How TweenMe Solves the ROI Problem for Its Clients

Making the New Framework Work in Practice

That’s exactly what **TweenMe**, the first industry-versatile **Digital Twin Generator by Qualees**, is built for. Instead of one-off AI projects, TweenMe lets you **create, deploy, and maintain digital twins at scale**—faster, cheaper, and across multiple business units. The result:

- **Lower marginal costs** through automation and reuse,

- **Accelerated time-to-market** for every new use case,
- **Built-in governance and compliance**,
- And a **portfolio-level ROI** you can finally measure and prove.

With TweenMe, AI stops being an experiment and becomes an **industrial asset**.

TweenMe doesn't just talk about better AI evaluation—it's built to deliver it. The platform enables clients to automatically generate what we call "digital twins" (specialized AI models) while solving the cost allocation and benefit measurement challenges.

For TweenMe clients, the portfolio approach isn't optional—it's built in:

Controlled Marginal Costs

- **The problem:** Custom AI development costs $500K-2M per model
- **TweenMe solution:** Automated generation of new digital twins at fraction of custom cost
- **Client benefit:** Predictable, manageable costs that scale efficiently

Accelerated Time-to-Market

- **The problem:** 12-18 month development cycles kill business opportunities
- **TweenMe solution:** Automated pipelines reduce deployment to weeks
- **Client benefit:** Capture market opportunities that competitors miss

Built-in Portfolio Value

- **Shared infrastructure:** All digital twins use common cloud, monitoring, and security
- **Cross-model learning:** Each new twin improves the overall platform
- **Automatic maintenance:** Continuous model updates and regulatory compliance
- **Data enrichment:** Datasets and knowledge bases improve with each use case

Solving the Allocation Problem

Before TweenMe:

- IT gets stuck with all the costs
- Business units fight over who gets credit for benefits
- Hard to justify continued investment

With TweenMe:

- Costs are transparent and usage-based
- Benefits are measurable at both individual and portfolio levels
- ROI becomes demonstrable within months, not years

Real Impact on Client Evaluation

TweenMe clients consistently report positive ROI using traditional metrics within 6-12 months—not because they're measuring differently, but because the platform architecture naturally delivers faster payback.

The key insight: Rather than trying to retrofit old measurement approaches to new technology, TweenMe creates the conditions where both old and new metrics show positive results.

What This Means for Business Leaders

For CFOs and Finance Teams

Skills to develop:

- Understanding shared-cost allocation models
- Portfolio-level ROI calculation
- Longer-term value assessment capabilities

Process changes:

- Budget for platforms, not just projects
- Multi-level reporting that captures different types of value
- Investment committees that understand technology synergies

For CEOs and Strategy Teams

Competitive advantage opportunity: Companies that master these evaluation approaches will make better AI investment decisions than competitors still stuck in project-by-project thinking.

Strategic risk: Organizations that stick to 6-month ROI requirements for AI platforms will systematically under-invest in transformative capabilities.

The Bottom Line

The MIT study's 95% "failure" rate tells us more about the inadequacy of our measurement tools than about AI's effectiveness.

Two key insights:

1. **For standalone AI projects:** Traditional metrics mostly still work, just use longer timeframes and account for indirect benefits.
2. **For AI platforms serving multiple departments:** You absolutely need new evaluation frameworks. The old methods will systematically show negative ROI even when massive value is being created.

The strategic imperative: Companies that develop sophisticated evaluation capabilities for platform AI investments—either internally or by partnering with platforms like TweenMe—will have a decisive advantage in making the transformative technology bets that define tomorrow's competitive landscape.

AI doesn't have a value problem. It has a measurement problem. And that's actually good news, because measurement problems are solvable.

The future of AI ROI isn't about quarterly P&L impact—it's about building, managing, and measuring value-creating ecosystems that compound benefits over time.

The companies that figure this out first win.